# **Self-Assessment Tool for Bonner Programs and Host Campuses**

Please Submit with Annual Report for 2011-12

#### Introduction:

The Bonner Program aims to impact three areas—student development, community impact, and campus infrastructure. Since its initial creation in 1990, the Bonner Program has continued to develop facets of its frameworks and supporting tools, resources, and work with campuses to achieve excellence in these areas.

This instrument—a Self-Assessment Tool for Bonner Programs and Host Campuses—has been designed to bring together the most important indicators of a high-quality, comprehensive program. It has been designed to provide the campus staff who build and manage the Bonner Program and other civic engagement initiatives with a set of key guidelines for which to strive. Many of the items in the rubric overlap with (and in some cases are informed by) other nationally recognized rubrics for civic engagement, such as those developed by Barbara Holland, Andrew Furco, Campus Compact, and AAC&U. Moreover, this instrument incorporates components that are specifically tied to the frameworks and required activities of the Bonner Program.

We at the Bonner Foundation routinely request that campus Bonner Program staff complete this self-assessment as part of a broader effort to guide our work with you. Your responses will serve as a reference point for ongoing conversations with you and others on your campus about the next steps and stages of program development, management, and strategic direction of the Bonner Program and your broader campus's work in civic engagement and education.

We'd suggest that you print out and review the contents of the Self-Assessment Tool, which you may choose to complete with a team of staff (and possibly students). We encourage you to gather input on relevant battery items; for example, you may want to consult with faculty to complete the items in the curricular category or with students to complete the items pertaining to student development. Then, use the Summary Grid (attached) to note your responses and notes. Finally, log your responses into Survey Monkey using this link: http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/5HCNH6H

We request that your responses be candid; Foundation staff do not expect a campus to be at the highest levels in all areas. Rather, your self-assessment will help us to know where we need to spend our time supporting you, providing resources, and providing other systems for stronger programs, as well as for informing our own strategic directions.

Thank you.

# SELF-ASSESSMENT TOOL FOR THE BONNER PROGRAM & HOST CAMPUSES

# CATEGORIES AND INDICATORS OF HIGH QUALITY

#### A. Staffing and Governance

**Staffing levels**: The Bonner Program has adequate staffing and management to run a program of its size (including meeting the recommended ratio of one full-time staff member for each 40 students).

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
We are significantly not	We are not able meet the	We meet the staffing	We meet the staffing	We exceed the staffing
able to meet the staffing	staffing requirement	requirement but only at a	requirement and also	recommendation, including
requirement (less than 1	(fewer than 1 FT person	minimum level and do	utilize student leadership	effectively utilizing staff,
FT person for 60	for 40 students) and are	not have student	positions.	students, and interns.
students) and are	understaffed.	leadership positions.		
significantly				
understaffed.				

**2. Appropriate governance:** The governance for the Bonner Program provides it with the access to institutional resources and support from senior leadership that it needs while also providing the program with appropriate direction and supervision.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
We have poorly defined	Our campus governance	Our campus governance	Our campus governance	Our campus governance is
lines of access and	does not provide	provides adequate	is strong, providing	superb; Director/
accountability within the	adequate access to	authority and resources,	excellent access to	Coordinator have excellent
institution; the Bonner	authority, resources, and	while also providing	senior leadership and	access to senior leadership
Program is poorly	direction.	adequate direction and	resources, as well as	and resources, possess a
resourced and supported.		supervision.	direction and	sense of direction and
			supervision.	autonomy, while also
				having good oversight.

**3. Effective coordinating center:** the campus has coordinating structures and entities (e.g., one or multiple centers) that are effective and appropriate—in terms of its location, size, staffing, and resource allocation—for coordinating the various civic engagement activities.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
We do not have a visible	We have one or several	We have one or several	We have one or several	We have one or several
or clearly understood	visible structures and	visible structures and	visible structures and	visible structures and
structure and entity (e.g.,	entities (e.g., a center)	entities (e.g., a center)	entities (e.g., a center)	entities (e.g., a center) for
a center) for civic	for civic engagement,	for civic engagement,	for civic engagement,	civic engagement, and the
engagement and other	but they are not	and the center(s)' work	and the center(s)' work	center(s)' work is/are
activities.	appropriately structured,	is/are fairly effective but	is/are strong and well-	strong, effectively
	funded, or understood by	could improve (in terms	supported,	resourced, and poised to
	students.	of location, size,	acknowledged, and	continue expanding the
		visibility, staffing).	resourced.	institution's civic
				engagement.

#### **B** Recruitment and Retention

**4. Effective Recruitment**: The Bonner Program has an effective, timely recruitment strategy that results in a diverse, highly committed group of students who are a good fit for both the institution and the Bonner Program.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our recruitment and	Selection is completed	Selection is completed	Selection is completed	Selection is completed well
selection process needs	late or there are some	over summer; diversity	before Orientation;	before Orientation;
major attention.	problems with the	levels fair and selection	diversity levels are	diversity matching or
Students selected are not	process; diversity levels	meets most Bonner	strong and similar to the	exceeding institution's, and
a good fit, and the	are lacking, and	Program guidelines.	institution, and selection	selection meets all Bonner
process needs an	selection fails to meet		meets all Bonner	Program guidelines.
overhaul.	Bonner Program		Program guidelines.	
	guidelines.			

**Program Retention**: The Bonner Program has retention rates as high (or higher than) the institution's retention, few students drop the program, and those students that do drop from the program, it is for the right reasons (poor performance, lack of interest, poor fit).

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
We have terrible	We have poor retention	We have good retention	We have good retention	We have excellent retention
retention for Bonners,	for Bonners, with a rate	for most classes of	for Bonners, meeting the	for Bonners, exceeding the
with a rate less lagging	less than for the	Bonners, with a few	rate for the institution.	rate for the institution.
behind the institution or	institution. Student	issues. Student	Student withdrawal from	Student withdrawal from
indications of poor	withdrawal from the	withdrawal from the	the program is handled	the program is handled
selection. We do not	program needs to be	program could be	well, and replacements	well, and replacement
handle student	improved and our	improved and our	are found fairly	selection is strong. We have
withdrawal or dismissal	replacement strategy is	replacement strategy	smoothly.	great student morale.
well.	lacking.	could be better.		

# C. Program Administration

**6. BWBRS Administrator Usage:** The Bonner Program staff is effectively using Bonner Web-Based Reporting System (BWBRS), as required, for tracking student usage and administrative reporting to Foundation.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our program does not	Our program utilizes	Our program utilizes	Our program utilizes	Our program utilizes
utilize BWBRS well and	aspects of the BWBRS	BWBRS fairly well and	BWBRS well and staff	BWBRS extremely well, is
needs more staff	and meets some of the	staff usage meets the	usage is complete and on	thorough, on time, and staff
training.	requirements but is	minimal requirements in	time, and responsive to	engage with the Foundation
	incomplete.	terms of information and	the Foundation for	to utilize and improve the
		timeliness.	information.	system.

**7. BWBRS Student Usage:** The Bonner students are effectively using Bonner Web-Based Reporting System (BWBRS), as required, for documenting their CLAs, service and training hours, and service accomplishments.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Students struggle to	Students meet some of	Student usage meets	Student usage is	Student usage is
report needed	the BWBRS usage	the minimal	complete and on time,	extremely well, is
information	requirements, but	requirements in terms	and responsive to staff	thorough, on time, and
completely or on time.	student usage is	of information and	for information.	engages staff to utilize
	incomplete.	timeliness.		and improve the system.

**8. AmeriCorps Management:** The Bonner Program meets requirements and expectations for managing its AmeriCorps positions, including paperwork, reporting, tracking, use of BWBRS, and adhering to guidelines, and prohibited activities (if applicable).

N/A	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Does	Our program	Our program meets	Our program meets	Our program meets	Our program meets
not	struggles to meet	some requirements,	basic requirements	requirements and all	all guidelines and
have	basic guidelines and	but it also needs	and expectations	expectations;	exceeds
	requirements for its	attention and support	most of the time.	AmeriCorps is going	expectations; we
	management of	in key areas.		smoothly.	strive to go above
	AmeriCorps				and beyond.

**9. Federal Work-Study Management**: The Bonner Program effectively integrates the use of Community Service Federal Work Study (CSFWS) in its program, and it implements this integration in a strong way.

	N/A	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
ſ	Does	Our program struggles	Our program is working	Our program is able to	Our program	Our program utilizes
	not	with CSFWS and we	okay with CSFWS, but	utilize CSFWS (at basic	effectively utilizes and	and manages CSFWS
	have	need some support to	it also needs attention	levels) and manage it	manages CSFWS, taps	very well, integrates a
		more fully utilize and	and support in key	effectively most of the	available slots, and	high proportion of
		manage it.	areas.	time.	works well with	available slots, and
					Financial Aid.	works well with
						Financial Aid.

### D. Student Development

**10. Developmental Model:** The Bonner student developmental framework is integrated and implemented throughout the program, including that students are aware of and engaged in the student developmental framework and have an understanding of what knowledge areas, skills, and habits they are developing.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our program is	We implement the model	We implement the model	We implement the	We implement the
struggling to implement	only in some levels;	fairly well at most	framework well at all	framework very well at all
the model; students are	students hear of the	levels; many students	levels; most students	stages; most or all students
not knowledgeable of	model at Orientation but	understand and	internalize the	internalize the model and
the model	not as an on-going	internalize the model	framework and can	are engaged in creating and
	feature.	and recognize their own	articulate their own	tracking their development.
		development.	development.	

11. Common Commitments: The Common Commitments and deeper values of the college philosophy are integrated, and students are fully engaged in exploring the relevance of these ideas to their work.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
We struggle to integrate	We integrate some of the	We integrate the	We integrate the	We integrate the Common
the Common	Common Commitments	Common Commitments	Common Commitments	Commitments fully; most
Commitments or values	but not fully; students	in a discernable way; the	and values in a clear and	or all students are
in a clear or meaningful	are introduced to them	majority of students	consistent way; most	thoughtfully and routinely
way and need help with	but struggle to explore	explore these concepts	students engage with	engaged in these ideas and
this.	them deeply.	routinely.	these concepts deeply.	make connections to their
				work.

**12. Developmental Structure and Leadership:** Within the program there is a developmental structure, including student leadership positions that are progressive, with positions at each class level, on Bonner Program committees, as service site coordinators, and in other program management positions.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
We struggle to integrate	We have a basic	We integrate	We integrate	We fully integrate
developmentally	developmental structure	developmentally	developmentally	developmentally
appropriate leadership	but only a few students	appropriate leadership	appropriate leadership	appropriate leadership
positions into our	participate in leadership	positions including	positions into our	positions into our
organizational structure.	positions.	project coordinators, and	organizational structure,	organizational structure,
		many students	and all students	and students participate and
		participate.	participate.	design & shape them.

#### E. Co-Curricular Activities

13. Management and Meeting Structure: The Bonner Program has a meeting structure with adequate time (from 15-20% of total hours, at a frequency of two meeting per month or more by class) for effective program management and a comprehensive program of training, enrichment, and reflection (e.g., such as large and small group, business and reflection).

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
We struggle with	We provide minimal	We provide good time and	We have a well-	We have a highly
providing adequate or	time and structure for	structure for effective	articulated training and	effective training and
structure for enrichment	enrichment and program	program management and	management structure,	management structure,
and program	management; meetings	training; meetings are held	with frequent meetings	with frequent meetings
management; meetings	occur less than two	at least two times per	and an articulated	and an articulated
occur less than two	times per month, and we	month, and we have an	calendar or plan; there is	
times per month, and we	have a partial calendar	articulated training calendar	a comprehensive	training intersects with
don't have an articulated	or plan.	or plan for engaging	approach.	other aspects of the
training calendar.		students in training.		campus or educational
				experience.

**14. Orientation:** The Bonner Orientation covers key elements of the program (campus and Bonner Program history, context, and frameworks), models key process points (student leadership, professionalism), and meets recommended requirements for time (at least one full day before the school year).

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our Orientation fails to	Our Orientation does not	Our Orientation covers	Our Orientation solidly	Our Orientation goes above
include key elements or	include most key	most key elements and	covers the key elements	and beyond in addressing
model key process	elements and falls short	models most key process	and process points,	key elements and process
points. It is too short or	on recommended	points; it is adequate in	including frameworks	points; it exceeds time
at the wrong time.	processes or time.	length and at the right	and student leadership,	requirements.
		time.	and meets time	
			requirements.	

**15. First-Year Service Trip:** The First-Year Service Trip successfully takes first-year (and/or new) Bonners through an immersion experience in a different context, including preparatory educational, service, reflection, and group building activities.

N/A	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
BLP:	Our First-Year Trip	Our First-Year Trip	Our First-Year Trip	Our First-Year Trip is a	Our First-Year Trip is
Does not	did not happen or	occurs but needs	meets the basic	strong example of an	an excellent example of
apply	struggles to	improvement in	expectations as an	immersion experience	an immersion
	accomplish its key	meeting key elements,	immersion service	with good educational	experience, includes
	goals for an	such as preparatory	experience with	preparation, service,	strong educational
	immersion service	education, service,	adequate preparation,	reflection, and group	preparation involving
	experience.	reflection, and group	reflection, and group	building.	students, context-
		building.	building.		setting, service,
					reflection, and group
					building.

**16. Second-Year Exchange:** The Second-Year Exchange effectively provides an opportunity for students to come together with students from another campus for an experience involving reflection, action, and/or education that also provide a larger context for students' understanding of their involvement in service.

N/A	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
BLP:	Our Second-Year	Our Second-Year	Our Second-Year	Our Second-Year	Our Second-Year
Does not	Exchange did not	Exchange needs	Exchange is effective at	Exchange is a strong	Exchange is an
apply	happen or did not	improvement in	providing students	example of an effective	excellent example of
	meet our goals in	organization or	across campuses with	set of activities to	engaging students
	providing students	providing students with	an effective immersion	engage students across	across campuses in
	with an educational	a strong service	in service and	campuses in reflection,	reflection, action, and
	service immersion	immersion with a	reflection, action, and/	action, and education.	education in a
	with a partner	partner campus.	or education.		meaningful and
	campus.				thoughtful way and
					communicating the
					bigger picture.

17. Third-Year/Upper-Level Leadership: The program and campus build in opportunities and structures for third-year or upper-level leadership in the Bonner Program; students' effectively demonstrate civic leadership in a variety of ways (committees, Congress, class projects, project coordinator roles, mentorship, and reflection).

N/A	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
BLP:	Our program	Our program needs	Our program has	Our program has strong	Our program has very
Does not	struggles to provide	some improvement in	effective structures for	structures for third-year	strong structures and
apply	third-year (or upper	providing third-year (or	third-year (and upper	(and upper class)	opportunities for third-
	class) students with	upper class) students	class) student	student leadership, and	year (and upper class)
	structures and	with structures and	leadership, and roughly	most students emerge	student leadership, and
	opportunities for	opportunities for	half of students emerge	as civic leaders in	most or all students
	student leadership.	student leadership, but	as civic leaders in	various ways,	demonstrate civic
		some students	various ways.	supported consistently.	leadership in the
		demonstrate leadership.			Bonner Program and
					campus-wide.

**18. Senior Capstone Experience:** The Bonner Program effectively structures and provides support for students so that they have a capstone-level experience in the fourth year (e.g., high level service placement, leadership roles within the program, partnership, or on campus), and students create a final presentation of learning.

N/A	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
BLP:	Our program	Our program needs	Our program has	Our program has strong	Our program has very
Does not	struggles to provide	some improvement in	effective structures for	structures for a senior	strong structures for a
apply	fourth-year students	providing seniors with	a senior capstone	capstone experience,	senior capstone
	with a senior	a consistent capstone-	experience, and the	most students have a	experience, most or all
	Capstone experience,	level experiences,	majority of students	capstone-level	students have a
	in terms of their	though a small	have a capstone-level	placement, and students	capstone-level
	service placement and	proportion of students	placement and do a	create strong senior	placement, and students
	leadership within the	do so.	senior presentation.	presentations.	flourish at strong senior
	Bonner Program.				presentations.

### F. Advising and Reflection:

19. One-on-One Meetings: The Bonner Program implements at least two one-to-one meetings (one per semester) for each student in the program with a member of the campus (Bonner or larger) staff, and these meetings provide students with individualized advising and support for their development and performance in the Bonner Program and on campus.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our program struggles to	Our program is able to	Our program effectively	Our program effectively	Our program effectively
implement the two times	implement the advising	implements the advising	implements the advising	implements the advising
per year one-to-one	meetings but not fully,	meetings at least two	meetings at least two	meetings at least two times
meetings or they are not	and they need some	times per year, and they	times per year, and they	per year, they connect to
very effective.	improvement in being	are fairly effective for	are a strong and helpful	the developmental
	helpful for staff or	students and staff.	element of the program	framework, and they are a
	students.		for students and staff.	very strong element of the
				program for students and
				staff.

**20.** Use of Community Learning Agreements: Bonner students complete Community Learning Agreements each semester, and they are completed well (with strong goals) and in BWBRS. In addition, discussion of CLAs is integrated into the advising process with students and with partners, in order to inform and promote quality.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our program struggles to	Our program implements	Our program implements	Our program implements	Our program implements
implement Community	Community Learning	Community Learning	Community Learning	Community Learning
Learning Agreements	Agreements with	Agreements fairly well,	Agreements consistently,	Agreements consistently,
with students, and they	students, but we need	and we effectively	and we integrate them	we integrate them with
are not well-integrated	some improvement in	integrate them with	with advising or	advising or students' work
with advising or	integrating them with	advising or students'	students' work in a	very well, and we utilize
students' work.	advising or students'	work.	strong way.	this information to
	work.			strengthen the program.

21. Student Reflection: Structures and practices for ongoing student reflection, including activities that help students to make connections between their service work and their academic study, research, the Common Commitments, and broader concerns are in place. These activities utilize good reflection practices, and they also involve students in creative leadership roles.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our program struggles to	Our program creates and	Our program creates and	Our program effectively	Our program effectively
create and implement	implements structures	implements structures	creates and implements	creates and implements
structures for students	for student reflection, but	for student reflection	structures for student	structures for student
reflection, or the ones	we need some	fairly well, and the	reflection, most students	reflection very well, so that
that we have are	improvement in their	majority of students are	are learning ways to	most or all students can
inconsistent or need	effectiveness or	involved in creative,	make deeper connections	articulate deeper
much improvement.	consistency with	consistent ways.	consistently and on their	connections consistently
	students.		own.	and on their own.

22. Student Portfolio & Career Linkages: The Bonner Program has a strategy or mechanism that effectively engages students in creating a portfolio (whether written, in a file, online, or electronic) that connects to their work. In addition, the program supports students to make connections between their Bonner experience and their post-graduate interests and goals (whether in terms of vocational discernment, career planning, or visioning).

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our program struggles	Our program attempts to	Our program is effective	Our program is strong at	Our program is very strong
with having students	have students create a	at having students create	having students create a	at having students create a
keep a portfolio or some	portfolio or keep track of	a portfolio or keep track	portfolio or keep track of	portfolio or keep track of
tracking of their work	their work, and some	of their work, and the	their work, and most	their work, and most or all
and development, and	students are supported in	majority of students are	students engage in	students engage in
there are not good	post-graduate planning,	supported in post-	reflection and post-	reflection and post-graduate
connections with post-	but we need to improve.	graduate planning.	graduate planning.	planning.
graduate planning.				

#### G. Community partnerships and service:

**23. Bonner Community Partner Selection:** The Bonner Program has in place an appropriate, effective strategy for selecting or confirming community partners. Ideally, this strategy involves annual planning, including in written form (applications or agreements) with partners with whom the program has multi-year, complex partnerships. This strategy includes orientation for partners around the Bonner Program models, frameworks, and expectations on both sides.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our program struggles	1 0	1 0	1 0 1	Our program implements a
with designing or	implements a strategy	implements a strategy	a process for partner	process for partner
implementing a doable	for partner selection, but	for partner selection,	selection, including	selection, including
strategy for partner	it doesn't include much	including basic written	applications or higher-	applications, higher-level
selection, and we need	orientation, or it needs	forms for most partners.	level agreements with	agreements, and long-term
support in this area.	much improvement.		key partners.	strategic planning with key
				partners.

**24. Developmental Model in Place**: The mix of community partners offers a set of student service placements that are developmental and progressively challenging. The developmental framework is also in place with community partnerships, supported concretely by its integration into partner orientation, materials, selection process, and ongoing management and communication. A developmental structure shows up in the coding of agencies and in students' CLAs in the reporting in BWBRS.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our program struggles to	Our program integrates	Our program integrates	Our program strongly	Our program strongly
integrate the	the developmental	the developmental model	integrates the	integrates the
developmental model into	model but we need to	effectively and the	developmental model,	developmental model; the
placements, and we need	strengthen the types of	majority of partners are	and this is evident	mix of partnerships
to work on ways to	placements that	able to utilize the	through the types of	provides most or all
communicate the model	partners are creating.	framework in creating	placements that the	students with progressively
and have partners develop		their placements.	majority of students	challenging placements,
progressively challenging			have (in BWBRS) and	with seniors reaching the
placements.			through partners'	highest levels.
			understanding.	

**25. Partners as Co-Educators:** The Bonner Program actively engages community partners as co-educators. Community partners are informed and engaged in providing training, orientation, guidance, and other structured or innovative learning opportunities to students.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Our program struggles to	Our program has the	Some community	Community partners are	Community partners
engage partners as co-	basic approach for	partners are engaged as	engaged as co-educators	actively act as co-educators
educators. We need some	working with partners as	co-educators and	in a consistent way. We	of students. They provide
help with changing the	co-educators, but the	actively provide training	have some solid	training and educational
philosophy and approach	practice of them doing	and educational	strategies and activities,	support in diverse ways,
for working with	so is inconsistent and	guidance to students.	although academic	including readings,
partners or how they	needs improvement.		linkages could be	discussions, and classroom
work with students.			enhanced.	participation and linkages.

**26. Site-Based Model and Project Coordinators:** The Bonner Program utilizes a site-based partnership model, with some sites identified as longer-term or multi-year. Many of the sites are team-based, and sites with a team of students (four or more students) also have a (student) project/site coordinator.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
We don't have a site-	We are moving toward	We have some identified	We have a site-based	We have a site-based
based model through	identifying higher-level/	higher-level partnerships	partnership model, with	partnership model, with
which some partners are	multi-year community	and elements of a site-	several sites identified as	several sites identified as
identified as multi-year,	partners and putting into	based model. We have	multi-year committed	multi-year committed
and we currently don't	place a project	project coordinators at a	partners. We have	partners. We have project
use project coordinators.	coordinator structure at	few sites.	project coordinators at	coordinators at all
	some sites.		some of these committed	committed sites where
			sites.	there is a team.

27. Community Partner Communication and Management: The Bonner Program is able to maintain consistent communication with community partners, including at least one annual site visit by a designated representative (staff or student) and other communication at least each semester or more often). Communication channels and strategies are effective, so that partners appear to be comfortable with initiating and maintaining contact with program staff and students.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
We struggle to maintain	We maintain effective,	We are able to maintain	We are able to maintain	We are able to maintain
consistent or effective	consistent	effective, consistent	effective, consistent	very effective, consistent
communication with	communication with	communication with the	communication with	communication with
partners. We are not able	some partners but are	majority of partners but	most partners, including	partners, including annual
to conduct site visits for	unable to do site visits	unable to do site visits	annual site visits, but we	or more frequent site visits.
the most part, and	with all of them. We	with all of them. We	initiate most	Partner initiate
communication with	initiate communication,	initiate communication	communication.	communication with staff
partners is an area	and some	in most cases.		and site coordinators.
requiring much	communication needs to			
improvement.	be improved.			

**28. Partner Evaluation Process:** The Bonner Program utilizes a partner evaluation process, which involves providing both partners and students with the opportunity to provide formal (written) evaluation and feedback at least once each year (or each semester). In addition, the program provides partners and students with ongoing informal opportunities for feedback and recognition.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
We currently aren't able	We have a partner	We have a partner	We execute a strong	We execute a very strong
to carry out a partner	evaluation process that is	evaluation process that is	partner evaluation	partner evaluation process.
evaluation process in an	effectively utilized with	effectively utilized with	process, but we could	Information is integrated
effective or consistent	some partners.	the majority of partners.	improve how we use this	into meetings with students
manner. Opportunities	However, this	However, we need to	information to ensure	and partners. Formal and
for feedback tend to be	information isn't often	improve integration of	best service. Formal and	informal opportunities for
crisis-oriented.	integrated with meetings	this information with	informal opportunities	feedback and recognition
Recognition of partners	and placement	meetings and placement	for feedback and	are utilized.
and students needs much	arrangements. We need	arrangements, as well as	recognition exist but	
improvement.	more ongoing feedback	ongoing feedback and	aren't fully utilized.	
	and recognition.	recognition.		

#### **H.** Curricular Activities:

29. Academic Coursework: The campus can cite relevant academic coursework, which may include coursework without service projects but addressing relevant themes (e.g., poverty, public policy), service-learning courses, community-based research courses, and independent avenues for study-service connections. In addition, a broad cross-section of students, faculty, and staff appear to be informed about these options.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
There are no or very few	A handful of courses are	Relevant coursework is	Relevant coursework is	A variety of relevant
courses; there is little	offered, but there is no	offered in some	offered in several	coursework is offered, and
institutional support for	broad integration of	disciplines. There is a	disciplines, and a core	a broad cross-section of
fostering relevant	service-learning, CBR,	small number of faculty	constituency of faculty is	faculty are involved in
academic connections,	or independent options.	is involved in providing	involved in providing	providing coursework or
CBR, or service-	Most faculty and	coursework or study	coursework or study	study options that augment
learning. There is	students are not aware of	options but many faculty	options that augment or	or link to students' civic
resistance to these	the merits of these	are not informed or	link to students' civic	involvement.
practices.	approaches or how to be	involved about how to	involvement.	
	involved.	do this.		

**30. Students' consistent academic connections:** Many Bonner students and other student leaders in service enroll in relevant coursework (such as policy, social justice, CBR, service-learning) or participate in independently designed study or research projects at some point during program.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Students have no or low	Students' involvement in	Some students are	Many Bonner students	Most Bonner students are
levels of awareness and	academic connections is	involved in relevant	are involved in relevant	involved in relevant
involvement in relevant	limited or occurs mostly	coursework and pursue	coursework, including	coursework, including
academic connections;	in the context of	independently designed	community-based	community-based research
barriers to participation	extracurricular student	projects with faculty, but	research. Some students	and higher-level
exist or academic	activities where faculty	this isn't very systematic	do independently	independent academic
connections are few.	are involved.	and could expand.	designed study,	options.
			internships and	
			practicum work.	

**31. Faculty support and involvement:** There is a high level of support for faculty involvement in civic engagement and academic-service connections, which is structured through existing committees, interdisciplinary collaboration, tenure and promotion guidelines. As a result, there is a high level of faculty involvement in civic engagement in multiple ways.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Faculty involvement in	Faculty involvement is	Faculty involvement is	Faculty involvement is	Community research and
service or civic	low, confined to campus	relatively low; some	moderate. Tenured/	community-based/ service-
engagement is virtually	duties, committees and a	faculty are involved in	senior faculty pursue	learning are a high priority
non-existent; support	disciplinary focus.	community volunteerism	community-based	for faculty. Faculty are
for it is very low.		or relationships with	research and teach	even involved in co-
		agencies and pro bono	service-related courses.	curricular work. Many
		consulting.		faculty are involved in
				interdisciplinary,
				collaborative work.

**32. Community voice and involvement:** There are accessible channels by which community individuals and/ or agencies can be involved in contributing to, designing, carrying out, and/or evaluating academic, research and service-learning activities. Involvement may include representation on institutional boards, presenting to classes, teaching, and shaping the research agenda.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
There is virtually no	There is sporadic,	There is some	Community	Community individuals
involvement by	random, or limited	community	representatives are	and/or agencies are
community individuals	individual or agency	representation on	involved actively in	involved in designing,
or agencies in academic	involvement in academic	advisory boards for	academic or research	conducting, and evaluating
or research activities,	or research activities.	departments, the center,	activities or through	academic, research and
and we need support in		or schools.	part-time teaching.	service-learning activities.
this area.				

33. Community-Based and Policy Research: The institution is engaged in community-based and/or policy research, working with community partners to identify their research needs that can be met through academic research or work. This is an extension of the type of service and resources that the institution can collaborate to provide to the community and also engages students (and faculty) in deeper learning and analysis.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
There is no CBR or	There is minimal interest	There is moderate	There is strong interest	There is strong interest in
policy research currently	in and activity with CBR	interest in and activity	in and activity with CBR	and activity with CBR or
and there is resistance or	or policy research;	with CBR or policy	or policy research;	policy research; efforts
lack of interest. We need	current efforts (courses	research; current efforts	efforts (courses and	(courses and faculty) have
support with this issue.	and faculty) are few or	(courses and faculty) are	faculty) have been	been successful and
	scattered, and we could	underway and growing,	successful and are	replicable. There is broad-
	improve.	with a strong core.	expanding, with broad-	based involvement and
			based involvement.	support.

**34. Faculty promotion, rewards, and tenure:** The institution has clearly articulated rewards or incentives for faculty involvement in service, service-learning and/or community-based research. For example, tenure and promotion guidelines build in support for service-learning and CBR.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
There is virtually no	Tenure and reward	Community service is	Formal guidelines for	Community-based research
support or reward	structures define service	mentioned in the tenure	documenting and	and teaching are key
structure for service-	in relationship to campus	and promotion	rewarding service,	criteria for hiring and
learning and CBR; in	committees or	guidelines; it may count	service-learning, and	tenure. There is strong
fact, many perceive this	disciplines; only in those	in certain cases.	CBR are in place.	institutional support for
work to be an obstacle to	cases is service-learning			faculty involvement in
faculty tenure,	or CBR rewarded.			these endeavors.
promotion, and				
recognition.				

**35. Academic journey (FIPSE), certificate, minor or major:** The institution has created an academic program—such as a minor, certificate, concentration, or major—addressing civic engagement or otherwise paralleling the intensive co-curricular model. Some students are enrolled in these offerings.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
The institution does not	The institution is in the	The institution is in the	The institution has an	The institution has an
have an academic	design and conception	process of approving a	approved minor,	approved minor, certificate,
program that offers a	stages of an academic	minor, certificate, or	certificate, major, or	major, or other academic
corollary to the	program that offers a	other academic program.	other academic initiative	initiative. Students are
developmental model;	corollary to the	Faculty interest is	but student involvement	enrolled in this program,
there is resistance to this	developmental model;	increasing, and student	in the program has not	and faculty support is high.
idea or we need help	we could benefit from	interest is being	occurred yet or is at low	
with this project.	support of the process.	developed through	levels.	
		recruitment strategies.		

#### I. Campus-wide

**36. Collaboration across campus:** There is strong, consistent collaboration between the Bonner Program and the office/department that houses the program and other entities on campus, including student life/affairs, academic affairs, career services, financial aid, development, the President's office, and other major departments.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Collaboration between	Collaboration between	Collaboration between	Collaboration between	Collaboration between key
key departments and	key departments and	key departments is	key departments is	departments is
offices is very weak or	offices is minimal or	moderate and includes	strong and includes most	comprehensive and
non-existent and	only between a few of	most of the key entities	or all key entities on	dynamic, including key
presents problems to the	the key departments and	on campus.	campus. It contributes	entities on campus in
program.	needs to improve.	Collaboration helps	to a strong program,	innovative ways that
		shape the program.	including student	strengthen the student
			development, impact,	development, community
			and infrastructure.	impact, and infrastructure
				for civic engagement.

37. Campus-wide Student Participation and Voice: Student participation in service and civic engagement campus-wide is strong, a part of the experience for most students and not only for those in the Bonner Program. A dynamic culture of service permeates the campus and major facets of students' academic and co-curricular work. In addition, student voice is present in many levels.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*	•	
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Student participation	Student participation	Student participation	Student participation	Student participation
campus-wide is very	campus-wide is minimal;	campus-wide is	campus-wide is strong	campus-wide is very
weak or non-existent,	there is a weak culture of	moderate and	and underscored by a	strong, experienced by
and the culture of service	service among a	underscored by a	dynamic culture of	most students. It is
needs much attention.	minority of students.	discernable culture of	service and	underscored by a dynamic
Student voice in the	Student voice in many	service and	infrastructure that	culture of service that
institution's functioning	levels of the institution's	infrastructure that	reaches most students	permeates the campus and
needs major	functioning needs	reaches the majority of	(e.g. the center, resident	infrastructure that reaches
improvement.	significant improvement.	students (e.g. the center,	life, etc.). Student voice	most students. Student
		resident life, etc.).	is evident at most levels	voice is evident in many
		Student an voice is	of the institution's	levels of the institution's
		evident in some levels of	functioning, including in	functioning, including in
		the institution's	leadership roles.	leadership roles.
		functioning, including in		
		leadership roles.		

**38. Awards and Recognition:** The institution clearly recognized the contributions and achievements of students and community members involved in service and civic engagement, for example through awards and other recognition initiatives.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Recognition of students	Recognition of students	Recognition of students	Recognition of students'	Recognition of students'
and community	and community	and community	civic work is visible and	and community members'
members' civic work is	members' civic work is	members' civic work	present, including a	civic work is strongly
very weak or non-	inconsistent or lacking;	occurs but could be more	formal awards program.	visible and consistent,
existent.	there is no awards	visible or consistent.		including a formal awards
	program.			program and other public
				documentation.

**39. Public Relations and Visibility:** The college/university has a strong public relations presence in which community service and civic engagement is visible. For example, the service and civic engagement center and Bonner Program can be easily found on the website. These efforts receive coverage in major campus publications.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Public relations efforts	Public relations efforts	Public relations efforts	There are elements of	There are highly effective
are poor, and service and	are minimal, and service	are moderate, and	strong public relations,	mechanisms for public
civic engagement	and civic engagement	service and civic	and service and civic	relations, and service and
receives little positive	receives little coverage.	engagement receives	engagement is visible	civic engagement is highly
coverage. Websites do	The website links and	sporadic coverage. The	and positively covered in	visible and regarded in
not exist or links are	coverage are difficult to	website links and	documentation and	documentation, online, and
hard to find, and	find.	coverage are not highly	online.	practices.
visibility is often		visible.		
negative.				

**40. Institutional recognition:** community service and civic engagement are central and defining features of the institution's approach to providing a developmental and educational experience for students and for fulfilling its broader mission.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
There is virtually no	There is rhetorical or	Service is understood as	Service is an element of	Service is a central and
institutional recognition	minimal reference to	part of active citizenship	the institution's	defining characteristic of
for the place of service	service and civic	but is left for interested	academic mission and	the institution's approach to
(or civic engagement) in	engagement.	students to identify,	agenda; many options	education and student
the educational mission.		mostly in a co-curricular	for co-curricular and	development; most students
		fashion.	curricular involvement	find co-curricular and
			exist.	curricular ways to be
				involved.

# **Bonner Program Self-Assessment Tool**

# Summary Sheet

	AREA AND INDICATORS	LEVEL	NOTES
	A. Staffing and Governance		
1	Staffing levels		
2	Appropriate governance		
3	Effective coordinating center		
	B. Recruitment and Selection		
4	Effective Recruitment		
5	Program Retention		
	C. Program Administration		
6	BWBRS Administrator Usage		
7	BWBRS Student Usage		
8	AmeriCorps Management		
9	Federal Work Study Management		
	C. Student Development		
10	Developmental Model		
11	Common Commitments		
12	Developmental and Leadership Structures		
	D. Co-Curricular		
13	Management and Meeting Structures		
14	Orientation		
15	First-Year Trip		
16	Second-Year Exchange		
17	Third-Year Leadership		
18	Senior Capstone Experience		
19	Senior Presentation of Learning		

	E. Advising	
20	One-on-One Meetings	
21	Use of Community Learning Agreements	
22	Student Reflection	
23	Portfolio & Post-Graduate Linkages	
	F. Community Partnerships	
24	Effective Partner Selection	
25	Development Model in Place	
26	Partners as Co-Educators	
27	Site-Based Model and Project Coordinators	
28	Partner Communication and Management	
29	Partner Evaluation Process	
	G. Curricular	
30	Academic coursework	
31	Students consistent academic involvement	
32	Faculty support and involvement	
33	Community voice and involvement	
34	Policy and Community-Based Research	
35	Faculty promotion, rewards, and tenure	
36	Academic journey or program (FIPSE)	
	H. Campus Wide	
37	Collaboration across campus	
38	Campus-Wide Student Participation	
39	Awards and Recognition	
40	Public Relations and Visibility	
41	Institutional recognition	